

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IN RE: AUTOMATED TRANSACTION
LLC PATENT LITIGATION

C. A. No. 13-md-2429-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v.
CARDTRONICS USA, INC., et al.

C. A. No. 06-43-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v.
CUMBERLAND FARMS, INC.

C. A. No. 13-134-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v.
SOUTHBRIDGE SAVINGS BANK et al.

C. A. No. 13-502-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v.
MASCOMA SAVINGS BANK

C. A. No. 13-503-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v.
NORTHFIELD SAVINGS BANK

C. A. No. 13-504-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v.
NEW ENGLAND FEDERAL CREDIT UNION

C. A. No. 13-505-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v.
HERITAGE FAMILY CREDIT UNION

C. A. No. 13-506-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v.
BATH SAVINGS INSTITUTION

C. A. No. 13-582-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v.
WINCHESTER CO-OPERATIVE BANK

C. A. No. 13-583-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v.
ADAMS COMMUNITY BANK

C. A. No. 13-584-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v.
BOGOTA SAVINGS BANK

C. A. No. 13-586-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v.
LLEWELLYN-EDISON SAVINGS BANK

C. A. No. 13-587-SLR

AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v. COMMUNITY BANK OF BERGEN COUNTY	:	C. A. No. 13-588-SLR
	:	
AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v. FIRST BANK	:	C. A. No. 13-590-SLR
	:	
AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v. NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANK	:	C. A. No. 13-591-SLR
	:	
AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v. DANNEMORA FEDERAL CREDIT UNION	:	C. A. No. 13-594-SLR
	:	
AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v. ASTORIA FINANCIAL CORP. ET AL.	:	C. A. No. 13-595-SLR
	:	
AUTOMATED TRANSACTIONS LLC v. SUNOCO INC.	:	C. A. No. 13-596-SLR
	:	

ORDER SCHEDULING ADR TELECONFERENCE

At Wilmington this 14th day of **August, 2013**.

IT IS ORDERED that a teleconference has been scheduled for **Monday, September 16, 2013 at 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time** with Magistrate Judge Thyng to discuss the scheduling of, the procedures involved, and the types of alternative dispute resolutions available, including mediation. **Frederick L. Cottrell, III, Esquire shall initiate the teleconference call to 302-573-6173.** Defense counsel shall provide the names of the participants and the parties they represent for this teleconference to Mr. Cottrell by **12:00 Noon, Thursday, September 12, 2013.** Mr. Cottrell shall provide that list of participants to Judge Thyng by **4:00 p.m., Thursday, September 12, 2013.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel and the parties are required to review and be prepared to discuss during the teleconference the attached "Teleconference Preparation Requirements" and the "Order Governing Mediation Conferences and

Mediation Statements,” a copy of which is posted under Magistrate Judge Thyng’s Forms on the Court’s website. Counsel shall advise the Court during the teleconference of any proposed modifications to that Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any communication regarding mediation/ADR in this matter must be made to Judge Thyng’s chambers, and any such communication, including, but not limited to the scheduling or rescheduling of teleconference or mediation dates, is **not** to be efiled or docketed. Such communications must be made through letters delivered to the Clerk’s Office for Judge Thyng’s Chambers, by fax to Chambers or by email to Judge Thyng **and** Cathleen Kennedy, unless directed or ordered otherwise.

Local counsel are reminded of their obligations to inform out-of-state counsel of this Order. To avoid the imposition of sanctions, counsel shall advise the Court immediately of any problems regarding compliance with this Order.

/s/ Mary Pat Thyng
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

TELECONFERENCE PREPARATION REQUIREMENTS

The following are some areas that the Court will focus upon during the teleconference, if applicable. Counsel are required to be prepared to discuss these areas and shall advise the Court of other issues that may affect ADR.

1. The parties' interest in ADR and the type of ADR (e.g., mediation; arbitration, binding or non-binding, with or without high/low; neutral evaluation; summary or mini bench or jury proceeding).

2. The timing of any ADR process.

3. The availability of counsel, the parties and/or their decision makers.

4. The length of time needed for the scheduled ADR process (e.g., more than one day).

5. The identities of any non-parties who have an interest or influence on the outcome of the litigation, and whether they were notified by counsel or the parties of the teleconference. For example, such non-parties would include health care or workers' compensation lienholders, excess carriers, or unsecured creditors in bankruptcy adversary proceedings. **Note:** If any non-party's interest would likely prevent a resolution if not a participant in the selected ADR process, or whom counsel or a party feels may be necessary for an effective ADR process to occur, then counsel or the party **shall advise** the non-party or its representative of the date and time of the teleconference and their required participation.

6. Any ancillary litigation pending/planned which could affect the ADR process in this case, including companion cases filed in this Court or other courts, and arbitration proceedings.

7. Previous efforts, if any, by the parties or their counsel to resolve this matter.

8. The identification of any outstanding liens, the amounts verified, and whether the liens are negotiable or limited by governmental regulations or statutes (federal, state or local).

9. The identification of other information required to appropriately and reasonably value this matter prior to the ADR process selected. If the information will not be available or completed by the time of the teleconference, counsel shall have an understanding of the type of information, reports, data and necessary discovery before ADR should occur.

10. The Court's Form Order Governing Mediation Conferences and Mediation Statements.